

**From:** [Matt Kales](#)  
**To:** [Jeff Berglund](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Urgent action, please read: SG data call for Secretary of the Interior, due 1200 MST, 11/21/13  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 19, 2013 9:05:55 AM

---

Morning, Jeff. Thanks for your message, and yup: you interpreted the template correctly. The first field is what the state thinks about their plan, and the second is what we think about their plan, and assessment of same. Feel free to holler if you have other questions. Cheers.

**From:** Berglund, Jeff [mailto:[jeff\\_berglund@fws.gov](mailto:jeff_berglund@fws.gov)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 19, 2013 7:16 AM  
**To:** Matt Kales  
**Subject:** Re: Urgent action, please read: SG data call for Secretary of the Interior, due 1200 MST, 11/21/13

Hi Matt - just wanted to check and make sure I'm providing what you're looking for. There are two headings in the template entitled "FWS Assessment of State Plan" - the first of these seems to be seeking a summary of what the state said in their assessment; the second is our assessment of their assessment (as well as their state plan) - correct? Sorry, just want to get you the right info. Thanks!

Jeff

On Mon, Nov 18, 2013 at 1:38 PM, Matt Kales <[matt\\_kales@fws.gov](mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov)> wrote:  
FMT:

I hope everyone had a good weekend. As we discussed on last Thursday's FMT call, the Secretary will meet with the sage-grouse Governors in conjunction with the Western Governors Association meeting in mid-December. The Department, through our Director, has asked the Service for briefing information for that meeting, specifically an update on the status of state conservation planning efforts, our assessment of same, and the interface between state and federal conservation efforts in each of the 11 affected states. The informal feedback you all kindly provided last week in advance of today's federal principals' meeting should serve as a foundation for your more formal contributions to this exercise.

This e-mail message serves as the data call for this briefing information. **It also contains a template that contains the questions we must answer for the data call.** Please review the tasking description and template and let me know if you have any immediate questions. Please note we have a hard due date of **1200 MST this Thursday, 11/21**. Thanks in advance for your time. Matt

Tasking Description:

**What:** Data call for briefing information about state conservation planning efforts in each of the 11 sage-grouse states.

**Why:** Secretary will meet with sage-grouse Governors and wants a fine-level understanding of the status of each individual state efforts so she can offer a "mid-term assessment" consisting of (a) Department support for ongoing progress and (b) opportunities to identify and address aspects of each state planning process that requires improvement.

**Who/how:** FMT members or their proxies will complete the standard template (see below)

and provide to a central FWS POC (Kales), for QA/QC and transmittal to HQ via DTS.

**When:** All information is due to Kales **by 1200 MST on Thursday, 11/21**. We are operating under an extremely tight deadline from the Department and ask everyone please respect this deadline, since we must transmit a complete product and any missing/late information will hold up the larger package.

Template for Completing Data Call:

**State:**

**State plan status:** Where in the continuum (of no plan to completed plan) is your state? What key milestones are approaching? How has FWS contributed to the development of the state plan? If a state has a completed plan, what does implementation look like to date?

**FWS assessment of state plan:** this information should be based on your state's completed self-assessment plan, if available, and your review of that plan. Please address high points of how your state believes their plan comports with COT final report objectives.

**FWS assessment of state plan:** please address the degree to which you agree with the state's self-assessment and identify any major areas where we have a different opinion about the ability of the state's plan to meet COT final report objectives. Further, please note the degree to which you have been able to develop a dialogue with the state to date over those differences of opinion. Please don't be shy about reporting significant positive aspects of state plans; we are seeking to develop as balanced and comprehensive an assessment of state planning efforts as possible via this exercise.

Note: I have attached a copy of the state self-assessment status table as of 1200 MST today; please let me know if you haven't seen your respective state's self-assessment and I will send it to you right away.

**Interface between state planning efforts and federal conservation planning efforts in your state** (i.e., BLM/FS land management planning revisions): this is especially important for those states where the state plans may be under consideration by the federal land managers as an alternative in their planning process.

**Matt Kales**

**Special Assistant for Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation**

**Office of the Regional Director**

**US Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region**

**Office: (303) 236-4576**

**Mobile: (720) 234-0257**

--

Jeff Berglund

Fish and Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Montana Field Office  
585 Shepard Way, Suite 1  
Helena, MT 59601  
(406) 449-5225, ext. 206