

From: [Ivy Allen](#)
To: [Matt Kales](#)
Cc: [Marla Trollan](#)
Subject: RE: Quick GrSG question
Date: Friday, May 02, 2014 11:50:47 AM

No. It would be accurate to say:

“We are developing an RFP through Tribal reprogramming funds to conduct on-the-ground conservation actions on tribal lands in sage steppe habitat. The funded proposals are likely to have a positive benefit for sage-grouse.”

*Ivy Allen | Tribal Communication Specialist | Mon -Thur 7:00-5:30 | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | Mountain-Prairie Region | 134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, CO 80228 | Ivy.Allen@fws.gov | O. 303-236-4575 | C. 573-680-4659 | **Please communicate by email when possible.***

From: Matt Kales [mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 11:46 AM
To: Ivy Allen
Cc: Marla Trollan
Subject: RE: Quick GrSG question

Thanks, Ivy. Is it accurate to say:

“We are developing an RFP for our Tribal Wildlife Grant program to target conservation opportunities on tribal lands in sage-grouse range”?

Please advise.

From: Ivy Allen [mailto:ivy_allen@fws.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 10:38 AM
To: Stephen Torbit
Cc: Marla Trollan; Matt Kales
Subject: RE: Quick GrSG question

Hi Steve,

Please see Matt Kales below request. I think SA maybe the only office that could help answer Matt's questions. Maybe once we have the RPF in the works, we could fund an entity to provide the real data Matt is asking for.

*Ivy Allen | Tribal Communication Specialist | Mon -Thur 7:00-5:30 | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | Mountain-Prairie Region | 134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, CO 80228 | Ivy.Allen@fws.gov | O. 303-236-4575 | C. 573-680-4659 | **Please communicate by email when possible.***

From: Matt Kales [mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 10:26 AM
To: Ivy Allen
Cc: Marla Trollan
Subject: RE: Quick GrSG question

Thanks, Ivy. I recast the below information for the briefing Q&A as follows:

Q: What is the role of tribes in sage-grouse conservation?

A: *Note: All answers are specific to Region 6 Tribes.* There are approximately 17 tribes located within the sage-steppe habitat. We are currently working to determine which tribes are actively working on sage-grouse conservation and to determine what funding sources (e.g., BIA) tribes have applied to sage-grouse conservation. Lastly, as we move forward with our status review, we will determine potential approaches for active consultation processes with tribes (which would follow our guidelines – established pursuant to Secretarial order - that govern any FWS actions that could affect a tribe).

Sooner rather than later, we will need the information I originally outlined and I encourage you, and R6 EA, to work with the other FWS SG regions and ES (and Steve Torbit) to follow up on the below so the next time we brief Congress we can speak in a more informed and unified manner about engaging tribes in sagebrush country.

Matt

From: Ivy Allen [mailto:ivy_allen@fws.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 9:52 AM
To: Matt Kales
Cc: Marla Trollan
Subject: RE: Quick GrSG question

Q: What is the role of tribes in sage-grouse conservation?

A: All answers are specific to Region 6 Tribes. There are approximately 17 tribes located within the sage steppe habitat. Of those tribes we do not know which ones are working on sage grouse conservation. None of these tribes have received funding to work on sage grouse through the FWS Tribal Wildlife Grant program. This species has not been discussed as a species of interest to tribes. There may be additional funding sources (NRCS, BLM, BIA) that tribes have used to work on sage grouse, in which case we would not be aware of funding or ongoing projects. To begin an active consultation process with tribes, you would follow the established rules that govern any Service actions that could affect a Tribe. For ESA specific actions and established guidelines see <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/tribal-secretarial-order.html>.

