

**From:** [Ashe, D M](#)  
**To:** [Urban, Heather](#)  
**Cc:** [Kornze, Neil G](#)  
**Subject:** Re: sage grouse  
**Date:** Friday, November 16, 2012 6:45:46 PM

---

Hey Heather. I'm not sure what this is intended to do, so my concern is that it will cause confusion among the SG conservation community. Related, I'd be concerned it would result in efforts by others (e.g., Idaho) to get their own specific legislative fix.

Maybe I just need to understand it better. But I don't see benefit at this point, and I do see some cause for concern.

Dan.

Dan Ashe  
Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

On Nov 15, 2012, at 7:58 PM, "Urban, Heather" <[Heather\\_Urban@ios.doi.gov](mailto:Heather_Urban@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Can you let me know about this?

---

**From:** McDonough, Alexander (Reid) [[mailto:Alexander\\_McDonough@reid.senate.gov](mailto:Alexander_McDonough@reid.senate.gov)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 15, 2012 07:14 PM  
**To:** Urban, Heather  
**Subject:** sage grouse

I'm sorry to bug you with yet another thing, but would you mind having your people take a look at the attached draft language and let me know if it's helpful to the Department in their efforts to avoid a sage grouse listing? It probably should be looked at by FWS and BLM. In addition to how helpful it is, I'd like to know any suggestions and technical edits.

Thank you!

Alex

<Sage Grouse Priority Areas\_DRAFT.docx>